

# Polynomial Invariants and Character Varieties of Classical Groups

Allan Merino and Simon Roby

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Character Varieties</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b><math>SL(2, \mathbb{C})</math>-character varieties</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>The algebra of full traces</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Polynomial invariants of classical complex groups</b>	<b>6</b>
4.1	$G = GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ . . . . .	6
4.2	$G = Sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$ or $O(n, \mathbb{C})$ . . . . .	9
4.3	$G = SO(2n, \mathbb{C})$ . . . . .	13
<b>5</b>	<b>Character Variety of classical groups</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>The case <math>\Gamma = F_2</math> and <math>G = Spin(4, \mathbb{C})</math></b>	<b>15</b>

## 1 Character Varieties

Let  $G$  be a complex reductive algebraic group and  $\Gamma$  be a finitely generated group with  $r$ -generators, i.e.  $\Gamma = \langle \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r, R_i(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r) = 1, i \in I \rangle$ .

We denote by  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$  the set of morphisms  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow G$ . We have a natural action  $\varphi$  of  $G$  on  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$  given by:

$$\varphi(g)(\rho)(\gamma) = g\rho(\gamma)g^{-1}, \quad (g \in G, \rho \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G), \gamma \in \Gamma).$$

The character variety of  $(\Gamma, G)$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{X}(\Gamma, G)$ , is defined as:

$$\mathcal{X}(\Gamma, G) = \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G) // G$$

where we denote by  $//$  the GIT-quotient or categorical quotient, i.e.

$$\mathcal{X}(\Gamma, G) = \text{Spec}_{\max}(\mathbb{C}[\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)]^G).$$

*Remark 1.1.* In the definition of the character variety, we used the GIT-quotient instead of the classical quotient. Let's see the difference between those two quotients on examples.

**Example 1.2.** 1. Let  $G = \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $X = \mathbb{C}^2$  and  $G \curvearrowright X$  given by

$$\lambda \cdot (x, y) = (\lambda x, \lambda^{-1}y), \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*, (x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2).$$

We want to determine explicitly  $X/G$ . How can we parametrise the orbits?

Clearly, let  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}(x, y) = \{(\lambda x, \lambda^{-1}y), \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*\}$ . In particular,  $xy = \lambda x \lambda^{-1}y$  and then if  $xy \neq 0$ , we have  $(x_1, y_1) \in \mathcal{O}(x, y)$  if and only if  $x_1 y_1 = xy$ . What happens if  $xy = 0$ ? We have three different orbits:

$$\mathcal{O}_0 = \{(0, 0)\} \quad \mathcal{O}_1 = \{(x, 0), x \in \mathbb{C}^*\} \quad \mathcal{O}_2 = \{(0, y), y \in \mathbb{C}^*\}$$

The orbits  $\mathcal{O}_1$  and  $\mathcal{O}_2$  are not closed. Indeed, the sequence  $x_n = (\frac{1}{n}, 0) \in \mathcal{O}_1$  and  $x_n \rightarrow (0, 0) \notin \mathcal{O}_1$  when  $n$  goes to infinity. In particular, the quotient  $X/G$  is not Hausdorff.

Let's now determine the GIT-quotient. For that, we have to determine the ring  $\mathbb{C}[X]^G$ , i.e. the set of polynomials  $P : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$P(\lambda \cdot (x, y)) = P((x, y))$$

i.e.  $P((\lambda x, \lambda^{-1}y)) = P((x, y))$ . One can check easily that  $\mathbb{C}[X]^G = \mathbb{C}[xy]$ , and then:

$$\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[X]^G) = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[xy]) = \mathbb{C}.$$

2. Let  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $G = \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ . Obviously,  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, G) \approx G$  and  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, G)/G$  is the set of conjugacy classes with respect to the action of  $G$  on itself by conjugation. We denote by  $A$  the following matrix of  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ :

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then for all  $t \neq 0$ , we have:

$$\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t^2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and we have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t^2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \text{Id}_2$ . Again, some orbits are open in  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})/\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ . In Section 2, we will determine explicitly  $\text{Hom}(F_i, \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}))//\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

*Remark 1.3.* We denote by  $X_1, \dots, X_r$  the generators of the free group  $F_r$ . Then, the map:

$$\text{Hom}(F_r, G) \ni \rho \rightarrow (\rho(X_1), \dots, \rho(X_r)) \in G^r$$

is an isomorphism.

## 2 $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -character varieties

Let's start with  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $G = \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ .

### Theorem 1

Let  $f \in \mathbb{C}[\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})]^{\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})}$ . There exists a polynomial  $F \in \mathbb{C}[t]$  such that  $f(g) = F(\text{tr}(g))$ .

*Proof.* In  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ , the eigenvalues of a matrix  $g$  only depends of the trace. Indeed, if we denote by  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  the two eigenvalues, we have:

$$\text{tr}(g) = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \quad 1 = \det(g) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2.$$

In particular, we have:

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{\text{tr}(g) + \sqrt{\text{tr}(g)^2 - 4}}{2}, \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{\text{tr}(g) - \sqrt{\text{tr}(g)^2 - 4}}{2},$$

and if  $|\text{tr}(g)| \neq 2$ , the matrix  $g$  is diagonalizable and is in the orbit of the matrix  $M_{\text{tr}(g)}$ , where  $M_t$  is the matrix defined by

$$M_t = \begin{pmatrix} t & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

If  $g \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $|\text{tr}(g)| \neq 2$ , we define the function  $F$  as:

$$F(\text{tr}(g)) = f(g).$$

If  $g \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $\text{tr}(g) = \pm 2$ , we have two possibilities: either  $g$  is diagonalisable and  $g = \pm \text{Id}$  or  $g$  is non-diagonalisable, and according to the Jordan decomposition,  $g$  in conjugate to the matrix  $M_{\text{tr}(g)}$ . We extend the function  $F$  on the set  $\{g \in SL(2, \mathbb{C}), |\text{tr}(g)| = 2 \text{ and } g \text{ not diagonalisable}\}$  by  $f(g) = F(\text{tr}(g))$  and we finally defined  $F$  everywhere by noticing that

$$\text{Id} \in \overline{\mathcal{O}(M_2)}, \quad \text{and} \quad -\text{Id} \in \overline{\mathcal{O}(M_{-2})}.$$

□

### Corollary 1

The character variety  $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{Z}, SL(2, \mathbb{C}))$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be a maximal ideal of  $\mathbb{C}[\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)]^G \cong \mathbb{C}[z]$ . Using that  $\mathbb{C}[z]$  is euclidian, there exists  $P \in \mathbb{C}[z]$  such that  $I = (P)$ . Because  $I$  is maximal, it follows that  $P$  is irreducible. In particular, there exists  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $P(z) = z - z_0$ . The map  $\mathbb{C}[z] \ni (z - z_0) \rightarrow z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  gives the expected isomorphism.

□

We now deal with the case  $\Gamma = F_2$ .

### Theorem 2 – Fricke-Vogt

Let  $f : SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \times SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a regular function which is invariant under the action of  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ , i.e.

$$f(u, v) = f(g \cdot (u, v)) = f(gug^{-1}, gv g^{-1}).$$

Then there exists a polynomial function  $F \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$  such that:

$$f(\xi, \eta) = F(\text{tr}(\xi), \text{tr}(\eta), \text{tr}(\xi\eta)).$$

*Proof.* See [1].

□

### Corollary 2

The character variety  $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}))$  is  $\mathbb{C}^3$ .

*Proof.* According to theorem 2, the space of  $G = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -invariants  $\mathbb{C}[G \times G]^G$  is a quotient of  $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ . To prove the equality, we need to prove that the map

$$\tau : G \times G \ni (\xi, \eta) \rightarrow (\mathrm{tr}(\xi), \mathrm{tr}(\eta), \mathrm{tr}(\xi\eta)) \in \mathbb{C}^3$$

is surjective. In particular, we want to prove that for every  $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ , there exists  $(\xi, \eta) \in G \times G$  such that  $(x, y, z) = (\mathrm{tr}(\xi), \mathrm{tr}(\eta), \mathrm{tr}(\xi\eta))$ .

For that, we choose  $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\rho + \rho^{-1} = z$  and let  $\xi_x$  and  $\eta_{y,\rho}$  the matrices of  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  given by

$$\xi_x = \begin{pmatrix} x & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \eta_{y,\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \rho^{-1} \\ \rho & y \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can easily see that  $\tau(\xi_x, \eta_{y,\rho}) = (x, y, z)$ .

□

## 3 The algebra of full traces

We denote by  $\widehat{G}$  the set of finite dimensional irreducible representations of  $G$ . For every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $(\phi, V_\phi) \in \widehat{G}$ , we denote by  $\tau_{\gamma,\phi}$  the map

$$\tau_{\gamma,\phi} : \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, G) \ni \rho \rightarrow \mathrm{tr}(\phi(\rho(\gamma))) \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{FT}(\Gamma, G)$  the complex algebra generated by the elements  $\tau_{\gamma,\phi}, \gamma \in \Gamma, (\phi, V_\phi) \in \widehat{G}$ . One can easily see that

$$\mathcal{FT}(\Gamma, G) \subseteq \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{X}(\Gamma, G)].$$

### Question 1

Is the previous inclusion an equality?

In this note, we are going to see that the answer is the previous inclusion is an equality for  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}), \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}), \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C}), \mathrm{SO}(2n+1, \mathbb{C})$  but the inclusion is proper for  $G = \mathrm{SO}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ .

Let  $G$  be a **simply connected reductive group** of rank  $n$ . We denote by  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$  the fundamental weights of  $G$  and by  $(\pi_k, V_{\phi_k})$  the corresponding irreducible representations of weight  $\omega_k$ .

**Proposition 1**

For every irreducible finite dimensional representation  $(\Pi, V)$  of  $G$ , there exists a polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  such that

$$\text{tr}(\Pi(g)) = P(\text{tr}(\pi_1(g)), \dots, \text{tr}(\pi_n(g))), \quad (g \in G).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Pi$  be such a representation. The representation  $\Pi$  is an highest weight module and the corresponding weight  $\omega$  is of the form:

$$\omega = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \omega_k, \quad \alpha_k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

We will proceed by induction. If  $\alpha_i = 1$  and  $\alpha_j = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ , then the result is trivial: the polynomial  $P$  is  $P(X_1, \dots, X_n) = X_i$ .

For a general  $\omega$ , we know that the representation  $(E, \rho)$

$$E = V_{\phi_1}^{\otimes \alpha_1} \otimes \dots \otimes V_{\phi_n}^{\otimes \alpha_n}$$

contains a unique copie of  $(V, \Pi)$ . Moreover, we have:

$$E = V \oplus W,$$

where  $(W, \eta)$  contains only highest weight representations whose weights  $\gamma = \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k \omega_k$  verify  $\beta_k \leq \alpha_k$ .

Then, there exists a polynomial  $Q$  with coefficient in  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  such that:

$$\text{tr}(\eta(g)) = Q(\text{tr}(\pi_1(g)), \dots, \text{tr}(\pi_n(g))).$$

The character of the representation  $\rho(g)$  is given by

$$\text{tr}(\rho(g)) = \prod_{k=1}^n \text{tr}(\pi_k(g))^{\alpha_k},$$

and then we got

$$\text{tr}(\Pi(g)) = \prod_{k=1}^n \text{tr}(\pi_k(g))^{\alpha_k} - Q(\text{tr}(\pi_1(g)), \dots, \text{tr}(\pi_n(g))).$$

The proposition is proved. □

For a finitely generated group  $\Gamma$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{T}(\Gamma, G) \subseteq \mathcal{F} \mathcal{T}(\Gamma, G)$  the subalgebra generated by the function  $\tau_{\gamma, \pi_k}, \gamma \in \Gamma, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

**Corollary 3**

We have the following equality:

$$\mathcal{F} \mathcal{R}(\Gamma, G) = \mathcal{F} \mathcal{T}(\Gamma, G).$$

## 4 Polynomial invariants of classical complex groups

### 4.1 $G = \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$

Let  $V$  be a complex vector space and  $\text{GL}(V)$  the corresponding general linear group. Let  $\pi$  the natural action of  $G$  on  $V$ . We denote by  $\pi^*$  the contragredient representation and by  $\text{Ad}$  the action of  $G$  on  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{End}(V)$ .

We want to determine the generators of

$$\mathbb{C}[n\text{End}(V)]^{\text{GL}(V)}, \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

We have the decomposition

$$\mathbb{C}[n\text{End}(V)] = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{C}^k[n\text{End}(V)],$$

and one can easily see that

$$\mathbb{C}[n\text{End}(V)]^{\text{GL}(V)} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{C}^k[n\text{End}(V)]^{\text{GL}(V)},$$

Let  $P$  be an element of  $\mathbb{C}^k[n\text{End}(V)]^{\text{GL}(V)}$ . The polarization  $Q_P$  of  $P$  is given by

$$Q_P(A^1, \dots, A^k) = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_1} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_k} P\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i A^i\right)_{\lambda=0},$$

where  $A^i = (A_1^i, \dots, A_n^i) \in n\text{End}(V)$ . The element  $Q_P$  is a multilinear map

$$Q_P : \underbrace{n\text{End}(V) \times \dots \times n\text{End}(V)}_{k\text{-times}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

In particular, we see that  $Q_P$  is of the form

$$Q_P = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k \in \{1, \dots, n\}} c_{i_1, \dots, i_k} U \circ \iota_{i_1, \dots, i_k},$$

where  $c_{i_1, \dots, i_k} \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $U \in \text{Mult}(k\text{End}(V), \mathbb{C})$  and

$$\iota_{i_1, \dots, i_k} : n\text{End}(V)^{\times k} \ni (A^1, \dots, A^k) \rightarrow (A_{i_1}^1, \dots, A_{i_k}^k) \in \text{End}(V)^{\times k}.$$

Note that some of the constants  $c_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$  are zero. In particular,  $U \in \text{End}(V)^{\otimes k*} \approx \text{End}(V)^{* \otimes k}$  and then we have to determine

$$\text{End}(V)^{\otimes k* \text{GL}(V)}.$$

We denote by

$$\Gamma : V^* \otimes V \ni v^* \otimes v \rightarrow \Gamma(v^* \otimes v) \in \text{End}(V) \quad (1)$$

the map given by  $\Gamma(v^* \otimes v)(w) = v^*(w)v$ . The map  $\Gamma$  is an isomorphism of  $\text{GL}(V)$ -module.

It is known that  $\text{End}(V)^{\text{GL}(V)} = \{\alpha \text{Id}_V, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}\}$ . Then we have  $\mathbb{C} \cdot \Gamma^{-1}(\text{Id}_V) = (V^* \otimes V)^{\text{GL}(V)}$ . We denote by  $\theta_1 = \Gamma^{-1}(\text{Id}_V)$ . If we fix a basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  of  $V$  and  $\{v_1^*, \dots, v_n^*\}$  the dual basis, we get that:

$$\theta_1 = \sum_{k=1}^n v_k^* \otimes v_k.$$

We have similar results for the invariants for the action of  $GL(V)$  on  $(V^* \otimes V)^{\otimes k}$ . We denote by  $\theta_k = \otimes^k \theta_1$ . We have a natural action of  $\mathcal{S}_k \times \mathcal{S}_k$  on the space  $(V^* \otimes V)^{\otimes k}$  (where the first copy of  $\mathcal{S}_k$  acts on  $V^{*\otimes k}$  and the second copie on  $V^{\otimes k}$ ).

**Theorem 3 – H. Weyl [5]**

We get  $\left((V^* \otimes V)^{\otimes k}\right)^{GL(V)} = (\mathcal{S}_k \times \mathcal{S}_k) \cdot \theta_k$ .

Now let's consider a more general action for  $GL(V)$ . As explained in equation (1), we have the following isomorphisms of  $GL(V)$ -modules:

$$\text{End}(V) \cong V^* \otimes V \cong (V^* \otimes V)^*.$$

Then for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we got the  $GL(V)$ -modules isomorphisms:

$$\text{End}(V)^{\otimes k} \cong \left((V^* \otimes V)^{\otimes k}\right)^* \cong (V^* \otimes V)^{\otimes k} \cong V^{*\otimes k} \otimes V^{\otimes k} \cong (V^{*\otimes k} \otimes V^{\otimes k})^*.$$

We consider here the action of  $GL(V)$  on the space  $(V^{*\otimes k} \otimes V^{\otimes k})^*$ . A linear form  $\lambda$  on  $V^{*\otimes k} \otimes V^{\otimes k}$  is  $GL(V)$ -invariant if  $g \cdot \lambda = \lambda$ , i.e.

$$\lambda(\pi^*(g)\phi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \pi^*(g)\phi_k \otimes \pi(g)v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \pi(g)v_k) = \lambda(\phi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_k \otimes v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_k),$$

where  $v_1, \dots, v_k \in V$  and  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k \in V^*$ .

We can write the invariants we got in a different way ([2]). To keep the notations of [2], we will consider the action of  $GL(V)$  on the space  $(V^{*\otimes k} \otimes V^{\otimes k})^*$ . According to the previous paragraph, the forms  $\lambda_\sigma, \sigma = \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2 \in \mathcal{S}_k \times \mathcal{S}_k$  given by:

$$\lambda_\sigma(\phi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_k \otimes v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_k) = \phi_{\sigma_1^{-1}(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_{\sigma_1^{-1}(k)}(v_{\sigma_2^{-1}(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\sigma_2^{-1}(k)})$$

are  $G$ -invariants in  $(V^{*\otimes k} \otimes V^{\otimes k})^*$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_\sigma(\phi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_k \otimes v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_k) &= \phi_{\sigma_1^{-1}(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_{\sigma_1^{-1}(k)}(v_{\sigma_2^{-1}(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\sigma_2^{-1}(k)}) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^k \phi_{\sigma_1^{-1}(i)}(X_{\sigma_1^{-1}(i)}) = \prod_{i=1}^k \phi_{\sigma_2 \sigma_1^{-1}(i)}(v_i) \\ &= \mu_{\sigma_2 \sigma_1^{-1}}(\phi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_k \otimes X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_k) \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, the form  $\mu_\sigma$  (and similarly  $\lambda_\sigma$ ) can be define using an element in  $\mathcal{S}_n$  by:

$$\mu_\sigma(\phi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_k \otimes v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_k) = \prod_{i=1}^k \phi_{\sigma(i)}(v_i).$$

*Remark 4.1.* Let  $\Gamma : V^* \otimes V \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$  the  $GL(V)$ -equivariant isomorphism considered before. Let  $\phi \otimes v$  be an element of  $V^* \otimes V$ . Then the trace of the endomorphism  $\Gamma(\phi \otimes v)$  is  $\phi(v)$ . Indeed, let  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$  as before. Then

$$\phi = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i v_i^* \quad v = \sum_{i=1}^k b_i v_i.$$

We have

$$\Gamma(\phi \otimes v)(v_i) = \phi(v_i)v = \sum_{h=1}^k b_h \phi(v_i)v_h,$$

and then

$$\text{tr}(\Gamma(\phi \otimes v)) = \sum_{h=1}^k \text{pr}_{\mathbb{C}v_h} \Gamma(\phi \otimes v)(v_h) = \sum_{h=1}^k b_h \phi(v_h) = \sum_{h=1}^k a_h b_h = \phi(v).$$

Similarly, we have a natural multiplication on  $\text{End}(\mathbb{V})$ . We have the following result:

$$\Gamma(\phi_1 \otimes v_1)\Gamma(\phi_2 \otimes v_2) = \Gamma(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2(v_1)v_2).$$

In particular, we have:

$$\text{tr}(\Gamma(\phi_1 \otimes v_1)\Gamma(\phi_2 \otimes v_2)) = \text{tr}(\Gamma(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2(v_1)v_2)) = \phi_2(v_1)\phi_1(v_2).$$

and more generally

$$\text{tr}\left(\prod_{i=1}^k \Gamma(\phi_i \otimes v_i)\right) = \prod_{i=1}^k \phi_{i+1}(v_i),$$

where, by convention, we have  $v_1 = v_{k+1}$ .

The form  $\mu_\sigma$  is a  $\text{GL}(\mathbb{V})$ -invariant element of  $(\mathbb{V}^{*\otimes k} \otimes \mathbb{V}^{\otimes k})^*$ . Using that

$$(\mathbb{V}^{*\otimes k} \otimes \mathbb{V}^{\otimes k})^* \approx \text{End}(\mathbb{V})^{\otimes k*},$$

we get a form  $\mu_\sigma : \text{End}(\mathbb{V}) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{End}(\mathbb{V}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given for  $A_i = \Psi(\phi_i \otimes v_i)$ ,  $\phi_i \in \mathbb{V}^*$ ,  $v_i \in \mathbb{V}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , by

$$\mu_\sigma(\Gamma(\phi_1 \otimes v_1), \dots, \Gamma(\phi_k \otimes v_k)) = \mu_\sigma(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_k \otimes v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_k).$$

*Remark 4.2.* Every matrix  $A \in \text{End}(\mathbb{V})$  can be written as a finite sum of elements of the form  $\Gamma(\phi \otimes v)$  so by using that  $\mu_\sigma$  is multilinear, we can define  $\mu_\sigma$  for a general element  $A_1 \otimes \dots \otimes A_k$ , with  $A_1, \dots, A_k \in \text{End}(\mathbb{V})$ .

### Proposition 2

Let  $\sigma$  be an element of  $\mathcal{S}_k$  and  $\sigma = (i_1 \dots i_a)(j_1 \dots j_b) \dots (t_1 \dots t_c)$  a decomposition of  $\sigma$  in disjoint cycles including the ones of length one. For every  $A_1, \dots, A_k \in \text{End}(\mathbb{V})$ , we have:

$$\mu_\sigma(A_1 \otimes \dots \otimes A_k) = \text{tr}(A_{i_1} \dots A_{i_a})\text{tr}(A_{j_1} \dots A_{j_b}) \dots \text{tr}(A_{t_1} \dots A_{t_c})$$

*Proof.* We first assume that  $\sigma$  is a  $r$ -cycle, with  $r \leq k$ . Note  $\sigma = (i_1 i_2 \dots i_r)$ . Then

$$\text{Supp}(\sigma) = \{i_1, \dots, i_r\}, \quad \text{Fix}(\sigma) = \{1, \dots, k\} \setminus \text{Supp}(\sigma).$$



We get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_\alpha(\Gamma(\phi_1 \otimes v_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \Gamma(\phi_k \otimes v_k)) &= \prod_{i=1}^k \phi_{\sigma_i^{-1}}(v_i) \\
&= \prod_{i \in \text{Supp}(\sigma)} \phi_{\sigma_i^{-1}}(v_i) \prod_{i \in \text{Fix}(\sigma)} \phi_{\sigma_i^{-1}}(v_i) \\
&= \prod_{i \in \text{Supp}(\sigma)} \phi_{\sigma_i^{-1}}(v_i) \prod_{i \in \text{Fix}(\sigma)} \phi_i(v_i) \\
&= \prod_{i \in \text{Supp}(\sigma)} \phi_{\sigma_i^{-1}}(v_i) \prod_{i \in \text{Fix}(\sigma)} \text{tr}(\Gamma(\phi_i \otimes v_i)).
\end{aligned}$$

We now need to simplify  $\prod_{i \in \text{Supp}(\sigma)} \phi_{\sigma_i^{-1}}(v_i)$ . We get

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{i \in \text{Supp}(\sigma)} \phi_{\sigma_i^{-1}}(v_i) &= \phi_{i_1}(v_{i_1}) \phi_{i_2}(v_{i_2}) \dots \phi_{i_r}(v_{i_r}) \\
&= \text{tr}(\Gamma(\phi_1 \otimes v_1) \Gamma(\phi_2 \otimes v_2) \dots \Gamma(\phi_r \otimes v_r)),
\end{aligned}$$

so the proposition follows for an  $r$ -cycle  $\sigma$ . Every permutation can be written as a product  $\sigma = \sigma_1 \circ \dots \circ \sigma_m$  with  $\text{Supp}(\sigma_i) \cap \text{Supp}(\sigma_j) = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$  (note that some  $\sigma_i$ 's can be of length one). In particular, we get

$$\mu_\alpha(\Gamma(\phi_1 \otimes v_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \Gamma(\phi_k \otimes v_k)) = \prod_{c=1}^m \left( \prod_{i \in \text{Supp}(\sigma_c)} \phi_{\sigma_i^{-1}}(v_i) \right),$$

and then we get, for every  $1 \leq c \leq m$ , that

$$\prod_{i \in \text{Supp}(\sigma_c)} \phi_{\sigma_i^{-1}}(v_i) = \text{tr}(\Gamma(\phi_{i_1^c} \otimes v_{i_1^c}) \Gamma(\phi_{i_2^c} \otimes v_{i_2^c}) \dots \Gamma(\phi_{i_r^c} \otimes v_{i_r^c})),$$

with  $\sigma_c = (i_1^c i_2^c \dots i_r^c)$ . The proposition follows.  $\square$

#### Theorem 4 – Procesi

The algebra  $\mathbb{C}[n\text{End}(V)]^{\text{GL}(V)}$  is generated by elements of the form

$$(A_1, \dots, A_n) \rightarrow \text{tr}(P(A_1, \dots, A_n)), \quad (P \in \mathbb{C}[n\text{End}(V)]).$$

## 4.2 $G = \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ or $O(n, \mathbb{C})$

Let  $V$  be a complex vector space endowed with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form  $B$ . The map

$$\tau : V \ni v \rightarrow B(v, \cdot) \in V^* \tag{2}$$

is an isomorphism of  $O(V, B)$ -modules. Obviously, the form  $B \in (V \otimes V)^*$  is  $O(V, B)$ -invariant. We denote by  $\theta$  the corresponding element in  $V \otimes V$  coming from the isomorphism given in equation (2).

Let  $k = 2j \in \mathbb{N}$  be an even integer and let  $V_k = V^{\otimes k} = (V \otimes V)^{\otimes j}$ . Let  $\theta_k = \otimes^j \theta$ . Formally, we have:

$$\theta_k(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_{2s}) = \prod_{k=1}^j \mathbf{B}(v_{2s-1}, v_{2s}).$$

Let's denote by  $\lambda_\sigma, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}_k$ , the following form

$$\lambda_\sigma(v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \dots \otimes v_k) = \prod_{s=1}^j \mathbf{B}(v_{\sigma(2s-1)}, v_{\sigma(2s)}).$$

Obviously,  $\lambda_\sigma$  is  $O(V, B)$ -invariant.

### Theorem 5 – H. Weyl [5]

Any multilinear orthogonal invariant of  $k$ -vectors (when  $k$  is even) is a linear combination of the forms  $\lambda_\sigma, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}_k$ .

*Remark 4.3.* The map

$$V \ni v \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(v, \cdot) \in V^*$$

is an isomorphism of  $O(V, B)$ -module which induce an isomorphism of  $O(V, B)$ -modules

$$V \otimes V \ni v_1 \otimes v_2 \rightarrow v_1 \otimes \mathbf{B}(v_2, \cdot) \in V \otimes V^*.$$

We denote by  $\Gamma : V \otimes V \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$  the corresponding isomorphism of  $O(V, B)$ -module.

### Lemma 1

1. For all  $u, v \in V$ , we have  $\text{tr}(\Gamma(u \otimes v)) = \mathbf{B}(u, v)$ .
2. For all  $u, v \in V$ , we have:  $\Gamma(u \otimes v)^t = \Gamma(v \otimes u)$ .

*Proof.* 1. We fix a basis  $\mathcal{B}_V = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  of  $V$ . Then  $u$  can be written as  $u = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k e_k$  for some scalars  $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{C}$ . For all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , we get

$$\Gamma(u \otimes v)(e_i) = \mathbf{B}(v, e_i)u = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \mathbf{B}(v, e_i)v_k.$$

Then

$$\text{tr}(\Gamma(v_1 \otimes v_2)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{pr}_{\mathbb{C}e_i} \Gamma(v_1 \otimes v_2)(e_i) = \mathbf{B}(v_2, e_i) = \mathbf{B}(v_2, \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i e_i) = \mathbf{B}(v_2, v_1) = \mathbf{B}(v_1, v_2).$$

2. Fix  $u, v \in V$ . So  $u = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i e_i, v = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i e_i$ . Then

$$\Gamma(u \otimes v)_{i,j} = \text{pr}_{\mathbb{C}e_j}(\Gamma(u \otimes v)(e_i)) = \text{pr}_{\mathbb{C}e_j}(\mathbf{B}(u, e_i)(v)) = \mathbf{B}(u, e_i)v_j = u_i v_j$$

and

$$\Gamma(v \otimes u)_{j,i} = \text{pr}_{\mathbb{C}e_i}(\Gamma(b \otimes u)(e_j)) = \text{pr}_{\mathbb{C}e_i}(\mathbf{B}(v, e_j)(u)) = \mathbf{B}(v, e_j)i_i = u_i v_j.$$

□

We denote by  $\sigma = (i_1, \dots, i_r) \in \mathcal{S}_{2k}$  a cycle of length  $r$ . We denote by  $\text{Supp}(\sigma)$  and  $\text{Fix}(\sigma)$  the disjoint subsets of  $\{1, \dots, 2k\}$  given by:

$$\text{Supp}(\sigma) = \{x \in \{1, \dots, 2k\}, \sigma(x) \neq x\} \quad \text{Fix}(\sigma) = \{x \in \{1, \dots, 2k\}, \sigma(x) = x\}.$$

For every  $i_j, 1 \leq j \leq r$ , we denote by  $[i_j]$  the integer given by:

$$[i_j] = \begin{cases} \mathbf{E}\left(\frac{i_j}{2}\right) + 1 & \text{if } i_j \text{ is odd} \\ \frac{i_j}{2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

### Proposition 3

For all  $A_1 \otimes \dots \otimes A_k \in \text{End}(V)^{\otimes k}$ , we get:

$$\mu_\sigma(A_1 \otimes \dots \otimes A_k) = \text{tr}\left(\prod_{k \in \Omega_\sigma} U_k\right) \prod_{n \in \Lambda_\sigma} \text{tr}(A_n),$$

where

$$\Omega_\sigma = \{[i_j], i_j \in \text{Supp}(\sigma)\}, \quad \Lambda_\sigma = \{i_j \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1, \{i_j, i_j + 1\} \in \text{Fix}(\sigma)\},$$

and  $U_k = A_k$  or  $A_k^t$ .

*Proof.* Obviously, if there exists an odd element  $n \in \{1, \dots, 2k\}$  such that  $\{n, n + 1\} \in \text{Fix}(\sigma)$ , then  $\text{tr}(A_{[n]})$  will appear in the decomposition of  $\mu_\sigma$ .

Without loss of generality, we can assume that our  $\sigma$  does not contain such  $n$ . To simplify the notations, we will assume that the sequence  $i_j, 1 \leq j \leq r$  satisfies  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r$ .

For all  $1 \leq a \leq k$ , we will denote by  $w_k$  either  $u_k$  or  $v_k$ .

1. If  $i_1$  is odd, we distinguish two different cases:

- (a) If  $i_2 \neq i_1 + 1$ , then  $\mathbf{B}(w_{[i_r]}, v_{[i_1]})$  appears in the decomposition,
- (b) If  $i_2 = i_1 + 1$ , then  $\mathbf{B}(w_{[i_r]}, u_{[i_1]})$  appears in the decomposition,

2. If  $i_1$  is even, then  $\mathbf{B}(u_{[i_1]}, w_{[i_r]})$  appears in the decomposition.

1. If  $i_2$  is odd, we distinguish two different cases:

(a) If  $i_3 \neq i_2 + 1$ , we distinguish two cases:

- i. If  $i_2 = i_1 + 1$ , then  $i_1$  is even and  $\mathbf{B}(u_{[i_1]}, w_{[i_r]})\mathbf{B}(v_{[i_1]}, v_{[i_2]})$  appears in the decomposition,
- ii. If  $i_2 \neq i_1 + 1$ , then  $i_1$  is even and  $\mathbf{B}(w_{[i_r]}, v_{[i_1]})\mathbf{B}(u_{[i_2]}, u_{[i_1]})$  appears in the decomposition.

(b) If  $i_3 = i_2 + 1$ , we distinguish two cases:

- i. If  $i_2 = i_1 + 1$ , then  $i_1$  is even and  $B(u_{[i_1]}, w_{[i_r]})B(v_{[i_1]}, u_{[i_2]})$  appears in the decomposition,
- ii. If  $i_2 \neq i_1 + 1$ , then  $i_1$  is even and  $B(w_{[i_r]}, v_{[i_1]})B(u_{[i_1]}, u_{[i_2]})$  appears in the decomposition.

2. If  $i_2$  is even, we distinguish two different cases:

(a) If  $i_2 = i_1 + 1$ , we distinguish two cases:

- i. If  $i_3 \neq i_2 + 1$ , then  $B(w_{[i_r]}, u_{[i_1]})B(v_{[i_1]}, v_{[i_2]})$  appears in the decomposition,
- ii. If  $i_3 = i_1 + 1$ , then  $B(w_{[i_r]}, u_{[i_1]})B(v_{[i_1]}, u_{[i_2]})$  appears in the decomposition.

(b) If  $i_2 \neq i_1 + 1$ , we distinguish two cases:

- i. If  $i_1$  is odd, then  $B(w_{[i_r]}, v_{[i_1]})B(u_{[i_2]}, u_{[i_1]})$  appears in the decomposition,
- ii. If  $i_1$  is even, then  $B(u_{[i_1]}, w_{[i_r]})B(u_{[i_2]}, v_{[i_1]})$  appears in the decomposition.

Then by continuing the process, we get:

$$\mu_\sigma(A_1 \otimes \dots \otimes A_k) = \left( B(w_{i_1}^*, w_{i_2})B(w_{i_2}^*, w_{i_3}) \dots B(w_{i_r}^*, w_{i_1}) \right) \prod_{n \in \Lambda_\sigma} B(u_{[n]}, v_{[n]}),$$

where

$$w_{[i_a]}^* = \begin{cases} v_{[i_a]} & \text{if } w_{[i_a]} = u_{[i_a]} \\ u_{[i_a]} & \text{if } w_{[i_a]} = v_{[i_a]} \end{cases}.$$

In particular, we get:

$$\mu_\sigma(A_1 \otimes \dots \otimes A_k) = \text{tr} \left( \prod_{k \in \Omega_\sigma} U_k \right) \prod_{n \in \Lambda_\sigma} \text{tr}(A_n).$$

□

#### Corollary 4

Let  $\sigma = \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_r$  be a product of permutations such that  $\text{Supp}(\sigma_i) \cap \text{Supp}(\sigma_j) = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$  (the cardinal of  $\text{Fix}(\sigma_i)$  can be one). Then for every  $A_1 \otimes \dots \otimes A_k \in \text{End}(V)^{\otimes k}$ , we get:

$$\mu_\sigma(A_1 \otimes \dots \otimes A_k) = \prod_{i=1}^r \text{tr} \left( \prod_{k \in \Omega_{\sigma_i}} U_k \right).$$

#### Theorem 6 – Procesi

The algebra  $\mathbb{C}[n\text{End}(V)]^{O(V, B)}$  is generated by elements of the form

$$(A_1, \dots, A_n) \rightarrow \text{tr}(P(A_1, \dots, A_n, A_1^t, \dots, A_n^t)), \quad (P \in \mathbb{C}[2n\text{End}(V)]) .$$

Finally, let  $V$  be a finite dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$  endowed with a non-degenerate, skew-symmetric form  $B$  and let  $\text{Sp}(V, B)$  be the corresponding group of isometries.

For every  $A \in \text{End}(V)$ , there exists a unique element  $A^*$  of  $\text{End}(V)$  such that

$$B(A(u), v) = B(u, A^*(v)), \quad (u, v \in V).$$

*Remark 4.4.* We can give an explicit form of  $A^*$ . Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_k, f_1, \dots, f_k\}$  be a symplectic basis of  $V$ , i.e.

$$B(e_i, e_j) = B(f_i, f_j) = 0, \quad B(e_i, f_j) = \delta_{i,j}, \quad (i, j \in [1, n]).$$

In particular, we identify  $\text{Sp}(V, B)$  with the subgroup of  $\text{GL}(2n, \mathbb{C})$  given by

$$\{g \in \text{GL}(2n, \mathbb{C}), g^t J g = J\},$$

where  $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \text{Id}_n \\ -\text{Id}_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . In particular, for every  $A \in \text{End}(V)$ ,  $A^* = Jg^t J$ .

By using the techniques used in the orthogonal case, we get the following theorem.

### Theorem 7 – Procesi [2]

The algebra  $\mathbb{C}[n\text{End}(V)]^{\text{Sp}(V, B)}$  is generated by elements of the form

$$(A_1, \dots, A_n) \rightarrow \text{tr}(P(A_1, \dots, A_n, A_1^*, \dots, A_n^*)), \quad (P \in \mathbb{C}[2n\text{End}(V)]).$$

### 4.3 $G = \text{SO}(2n, \mathbb{C})$

We denote by  $\text{Pf}$  the Pfaffian on  $\text{Mat}(2n, \mathbb{C})$  (the Pfaffian is usually defined on skew-symmetric matrices but we define  $\text{Pf}$  on  $\text{Mat}(2n, \mathbb{C})$  as follow

$$\text{Pf}(X) = \text{Pf}\left(\frac{X + X^t}{2} + \frac{X - X^t}{2}\right) = \text{Pf}\left(\frac{X - X^t}{2}\right), \quad (X \in \text{Mat}(2n, \mathbb{C})).$$

It is know that the Pfaffian  $\text{Pf}$  satisfies the condition

$$\text{Pf}(gXg^t) = \det(g)\text{Pf}(X), \quad (g \in \text{GL}(2n, \mathbb{C}), X \in \text{Mat}(2n, \mathbb{C})).$$

In particular, for every  $g \in \text{SO}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ , we have

$$\text{Pf}(gXg^{-1}) = \text{Pf}(X), \quad (X \in \text{Mat}(2n, \mathbb{C})),$$

i.e.  $\text{Pf}$  if  $\text{SO}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ -invariant.

We denote by  $\widetilde{\text{Pf}}$  the polarization of the Pfaffian. It is a  $n$ -multilinear map satisfying  $\widetilde{\text{Pf}}(\underbrace{A, \dots, A}_{n\text{-times}}) = n!\text{Pf}(A)$ .

### Theorem 8 – Aslaksen - Tan - Zhu [6]

The algebra  $\mathbb{C}[k\text{Mat}(2n, \mathbb{C})]^{\text{SO}(2n, \mathbb{C})}$  is generated by elements of the form

$$(A_1, \dots, A_k) \rightarrow \text{tr}(P(A_1, \dots, A_k, A_1^t, \dots, A_k^t))$$

and

$$A = (A_1, \dots, A_k) \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Pf}}(P_1(A, A^t), \dots, P_n(A, A^t)),$$

where  $P, P_1, \dots, P_n$  are non commutative polynomials.

*Proof.* See [6, Theorem 3]. □

*Remark 4.5.* The reason why we are focusing our attention on the even case is that

$$\mathbb{C}[k\text{Mat}(2n+1, \mathbb{C})]^{\text{SO}(2n+1, \mathbb{C})} = \mathbb{C}[k\text{Mat}(2n+1, \mathbb{C})]^{\text{O}(2n+1, \mathbb{C})}.$$

One can easily see that the previous equality holds by noticing that  $\text{Id} \in \text{O}(2n+1, \mathbb{C}) \setminus \text{SO}(2n+1, \mathbb{C})$ .

## 5 Character Variety of classical groups

In this section, we assume that  $G$  is a Zariski closed subgroup of  $\text{SL}(V)$ , where  $V$  is a finite dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $\Gamma$  be a closed subgroup of  $F_r$ , with  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Using that  $G$  is a Zariski closed subgroup of  $\text{SL}(V)$ , we get that the restriction map

$$\mathbb{C}[\text{End}(V)] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[G]$$

is surjective. Then the corresponding map:

$$\mathbb{C}[\text{End}(V)]^{\otimes r} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[G]^{\otimes r}$$

is surjective. Using that  $\mathbb{C}[\text{End}(V)]^{\otimes r} \cong \mathbb{C}[\text{End}(V)^{\otimes r}]$ , we get a natural surjection:

$$\mathbb{C}[\text{End}(V)^r] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\text{Hom}(F_r, G)],$$

and because the algebraic variety  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$  is a closed subset of  $\text{Hom}(F_r, G) = G^r$ , we got by composition a natural surjection:

$$\mathbb{C}[\text{End}(V)^r] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)]. \quad (3)$$

This map corresponding map

$$\Psi : \mathbb{C}[\text{End}(V)^r]^G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)]^G$$

is well-defined,  $G$ -equivariant and surjective. In particular,

$$\Psi(\mathbb{C}[\text{End}(V)^r]^G) = \mathbb{C}[\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)]^G.$$

### Theorem 9

Let  $G = \text{SL}(V)$ ,  $\text{Sp}(V)$  or  $G = \text{SO}(V)$  with  $\dim(V) \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$ . Then

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{X}(\Gamma, G)] = \mathcal{F} \mathcal{T}(\Gamma, G) = \mathcal{T}(\Gamma, G).$$

*Proof.* As explained before, we have that following surjective maps

$$\mathbb{C}[r\text{End}(V)]^G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[G^r]^G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)].$$

The result is obvious for  $G = \text{SL}(V)$ . For  $G = \text{Sp}(V)$ , we know that the elements of the form

$$(A_1, \dots, A_n) \rightarrow \text{tr}(P(A_1, \dots, A_n, A_1^*, \dots, A_n^*)), \quad (P \in \mathbb{C}[2n\text{End}(V)])$$

generate  $\mathbb{C}[r\text{End}(V)]^{\text{Sp}(V)}$ . One can easily see that  $A^* = A^{-1}$  if  $A \in \text{Sp}(V)$ . It implies that the elements

$$(A_1, \dots, A_n) \rightarrow \text{tr}(P(A_1, \dots, A_n, A_1^{-1}, \dots, A_n^{-1})), \quad (P \in \mathbb{C}[2n\text{End}(V)])$$

are generators of  $\mathbb{C}[\text{Sp}(V)^r]^{\text{Sp}(V)}$  and in particular, we get

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{X}(\Gamma, \text{Sp}(V))] = \mathcal{T}(\Gamma, \text{Sp}(V)).$$

The proof is similar for  $G = \text{SO}(V)$ . □

*Remark 5.1.* We explain here the link between  $\mathcal{X}(F_r, \text{SL}(V))$  and  $\mathcal{X}(F_r, \text{GL}(V))$ . We have the following exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow \text{SL}(V) \rightarrow \text{GL}(V) \xrightarrow{\det} \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow 1.$$

It induces a natural map  $\widetilde{\det} : \text{Hom}(F_r, \text{GL}(V)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F_r, \mathbb{C}^*) \approx \mathbb{C}^{*r}$  given by

$$\widetilde{\det}(\rho) = (\det(\rho(X_1)), \dots, \det(\rho(X_r))),$$

where  $X_1, \dots, X_r$  are the generators of the free group. The map induced on  $\mathcal{X}(F_r, \text{GL}(V))$  is well-defined, and because  $\mathbb{C}^*$  is abelian, we get that  $\mathbb{C}^{*r} \approx \mathcal{X}(F_r, \mathbb{C}^*)$ .

We get that  $\mathcal{X}(F_r, \text{SL}(V)) = \widetilde{\det}^{-1}(1_r)$ , where  $1_r = (1, \dots, 1)$  and we get the following exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(F_r, \text{SL}(V)) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(F_r, \text{GL}(V)) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(F_r, \mathbb{C}^*) \rightarrow 1.$$

### Theorem 10 – (A. Sikora)

Let  $G = \text{SO}(V)$ , with  $\dim(V) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$\mathcal{F}\mathcal{T}(F_2, G) \not\subseteq \mathbb{C}[\text{Hom}(F_2, G)].$$

*Proof.* See [3, Theorem 1]. □

## 6 The case $\Gamma = F_2$ and $G = \text{Spin}(4, \mathbb{C})$

As mentioned in the previous section, we have  $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{T}(F_2, \text{SO}(4, \mathbb{C})) \not\subseteq \mathbb{C}[\text{Hom}(F_2, \text{SO}(4, \mathbb{C}))]$ . In this section, we are going to prove that

$$\mathcal{F}\mathcal{T}(F_2, \text{Spin}(4, \mathbb{C})) = \mathbb{C}[\text{Hom}(F_2, \text{Spin}(4, \mathbb{C}))].$$

We denote by  $\widetilde{G}$  the cartesian product  $SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \times SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ . The group  $\widetilde{G}$  acts on  $V = Mat(2, \mathbb{C})$  naturally:

$$\phi((g, h))(A) = gAh^{-1} \quad ((g, h) \in \widetilde{G}, A \in V).$$

On  $V$ , we define the quadratic form  $Q$  given by  $Q(X) = 2 \det(X)$  and by polarisation, we get the form  $B$  given by  $B(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} (Q(X + Y) - Q(X) - Q(Y))$ . Then for every  $(g, h) \in \widetilde{G}$  and  $X, Y \in V$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} B(\phi((g, h))X, \phi(g, h)Y) &= \beta(gXh^{-1}, gYh^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\det(gXh^{-1} + gYh^{-1}) - \det(gXh^{-1}) - \det(gYh^{-1})) \\ &= (\det(g) \det(X + Y) \det(h^{-1}) - \det(g) \det(X) \det(h^{-1}) - \det(g) \det(Y) \det(h^{-1})) \\ &= \det(X + Y) - \det(X) - \det(Y) = B(X, Y), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $\phi((g, h)) \in O(V, B)$ . The form  $B$  is symmetric and non-degenerate. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the basis of  $V$  given by

$$\mathcal{B} = \{v_1 = E_{1,1}, v_2 = E_{1,2}, v_3 = -E_{2,1}, v_4 = E_{2,2}\}.$$

One can easily see that

$$Mat_{\mathcal{B}}(B) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

If we identify  $V$  with  $\mathbb{C}^4$  by sending the vector  $v_i$  on  $e_i$  (the  $i$ -th element of the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{C}^4$ ), the form  $B$  becomes  $B_1$ , the natural symmetric form on  $\mathbb{C}^4$  whose matrix in  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$  is given by (4). In particular,

$$\phi : \widetilde{G} \rightarrow O(V, B)$$

is a well-defined homomorphism. Moreover, one can see that  $\det(\phi(\tilde{g})) = 1$  for every  $\tilde{g} \in \widetilde{G}$ , and then

$$\phi : \widetilde{G} \rightarrow SO(V, B).$$

Let  $\widetilde{H} = \{\text{diag}(h_1, h_1^{-1}), h_1 \in \mathbb{C}^*\} \times \{\text{diag}(h_2, h_2^{-1}), h_2 \in \mathbb{C}^*\} \subseteq \widetilde{G}$  and  $H$  be the subgroup of  $G$  given by  $\{\text{diag}(h_1, h_2, h_2^{-1}, h_1^{-1}), h_1, h_2 \in \mathbb{C}^*\}$ .

### Theorem 11

*The map  $\phi$  is surjective and  $\phi(\widetilde{H}) = H$ . Moreover,  $\text{Ker}(\phi) = \{(1, 1), (-1, -1)\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ , i.e.  $\widetilde{G} \cong \text{Spin}(V, B)$ .*

In particular, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}[\text{Hom}(F_2, \text{Spin}(4, \mathbb{C}))]^{\text{Spin}(4, \mathbb{C})} &= \mathbb{C}[\text{Spin}(4, \mathbb{C})^2]^{\text{Spin}(4, \mathbb{C})} = \mathbb{C}[(SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \times SL(2, \mathbb{C}))^2]^{\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})} \\ &= \mathbb{C}[SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \times SL(2, \mathbb{C})]^{\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})} \otimes \mathbb{C}[SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \times SL(2, \mathbb{C})]^{\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})}. \end{aligned}$$

According to the Theorem of Fricke-Vogt, we get that:

$$\mathbb{C}[SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \times SL(2, \mathbb{C})]^{\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})} \otimes \mathbb{C}[SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \times SL(2, \mathbb{C})]^{\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})} = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3],$$



where

$$x_1(A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2) = \text{tr}(A_1), \quad x_2(A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2) = \text{tr}(B_1), \quad x_3(A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2) = \text{tr}(A_1 B_1),$$

$$y_1(A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2) = \text{tr}(A_2), \quad y_2(A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2) = \text{tr}(B_2), \quad y_3(A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2) = \text{tr}(A_2 B_2).$$

We denote by  $\Pi$  the spinorial representation of  $\text{Spin}(4, \mathbb{C})$ . The representation  $\Pi$  is the direct sum of two irreducible representations  $\Pi = \Pi_+ \oplus \Pi_-$ . We denote by  $\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \tilde{x}_3, \tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2, \tilde{y}_3$  the corresponding set of generators of  $\mathbb{C}[\text{Spin}(4, \mathbb{C})]^{2^{\text{Spin}(4, \mathbb{C})}}$ . We have:

$$\tilde{x}_1(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}) = \text{tr}(\Pi_+(\tilde{g})), \quad \tilde{x}_2(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}) = \text{tr}(\Pi_+(\tilde{h})), \quad \tilde{x}_3(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}) = \text{tr}(\Pi_+(\tilde{g}\tilde{h})),$$

$$\tilde{y}_1(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}) = \text{tr}(\Pi_-(\tilde{g})), \quad \tilde{y}_2(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}) = \text{tr}(\Pi_-(\tilde{h})), \quad \tilde{y}_3(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}) = \text{tr}(\Pi_-(\tilde{g}\tilde{h})).$$

In particular, we have:

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_2, \text{Spin}(4, \mathbb{C})) = \mathcal{F} \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{F}_2, \text{Spin}(4, \mathbb{C})).$$

### Conjecture 1

For every  $n \geq 2$ , we get:

$$\mathcal{F} \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{F}_2, \text{Spin}(2n, \mathbb{C})) = \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_2, \text{Spin}(2n, \mathbb{C}))].$$

## References

- [1] William M. Goldman. An exposition of results of fricke and vogt. <https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0402103>.
- [2] C. Procesi. The invariant theory of  $n \times n$  matrices. *Advances in Math.*, 19(3):306–381, 1976.
- [3] Adam S. Sikora.  $SO(2n, \mathbb{C})$ -character varieties are not varieties of characters. *J. Algebra*, 478:195–214, 2017.
- [4] Nolan R. Wallach. *Geometric invariant theory*. Universitext. Springer, Cham, 2017. Over the real and complex numbers.
- [5] Hermann Weyl. *The classical groups*. Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1997. Their invariants and representations, Fifteenth printing, Princeton Paperbacks.
- [6] Hermann Weyl. *The classical groups*. Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1997. Their invariants and representations, Fifteenth printing, Princeton Paperbacks.